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INTRODUCTION

Snack foods are popular and very well exploited throughout the world. They are handy and light and usually eaten between regular meals (Lusas, 2001). Snack foods are usually high in calories but low in protein, vitamins, and other micronutrients. Crackers made from fish or prawns, which is popular to the people of the orient, are one of the snack foods gradually making inroads into the Ghanaian eating pattern and gaining popularity. It is originally processed from tapioca starch and fish or prawn meat and utilizes the technology of puffing in its processing. The source of starch has been found to affect the quality of the final product. Starches from cassava, sago, rice, and mixtures of starches from these and other crops have been used but cassava starch is identified to result in higher expansion (Tongdang, Meenun, & Chainui, 2008).

Efforts at enhancing the potential of high-quality cassava flour (HQCF) as an industrial raw material for food applications in Ghana continue to receive significant attention. HQCF is unfermented cassava flour produced from wholesome freshly harvested and rapidly processed cassava roots. The flour is white and odorless with low acidity and fairly neutral pH (Dziedzoave et al., 2006). Although the flour is quite versatile and applicable for use in a wide range of food products, its utilization currently, is limited to bakery and pastry products. In this study, however, HQCF was used to partially replace starch in the formulation of crackers. Whereas usage of tapioca starch in crackers has been widely reported (Cheow, Kyaw, Howell, & Dzulkifly, 2004; Kyaw, Yu, Cheow, Dzulkifly, & Howell, 2001; Saeleaw & Schleining, 2011; Tongdang et al., 2008), the application of HQCF and its combination with starch remain marginally explored. Its application in cracker production would create another avenue for profitable and diverse usage.
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of the commodity. Additionally, it offers a cheaper alternative to processing the snack.

HQCF and cassava starch have some basic physico-chemical and functional differences. Therefore partially replacing starch with HQCF in the formulation of crackers requires optimization. This would be useful in identifying and predicting the combinations of these ingredients that will result in a product with an ideal consumer acceptability. Sensory optimization of many food products has been reported (Bangde, Hayes, & Zeigler, 2015; Damasio, Costell, & Duran, 1999; Prinyawiwatkul, McWatters, Beuchat, & Philips, 1997). This forms an integral part of product development and facilitates the identification of sensory attributes which influence consumer acceptability (Prinyawiwatkul et al., 1997). The objective of this study, consequently, was to optimize cracker formulation from HQCF, cassava starch, and prawn powder to obtain a snack with ideal acceptability among consumers.

2 | METHODOLOGY

Fresh black tiger prawns were obtained from a local fish market, whereas HQCF and cassava starch were procured from the Root and Tuber Products Development Unit, CSIR-FRI. Other ingredients were purchased from a retail supermarket.

2.1 | Processing of prawn powder

The fresh prawns were sorted, washed in potable water, peeled, and the heads removed. Afterward, the peeled prawns were spread thinly on a wire mesh and dried at 55°C for 14 hr. The dried prawn meat was milled into powder to pass through a 250 μ sieve. The prawn powder was subsequently packaged in HDPE bags, sealed, and stored in a refrigerator.

2.2 | Formulation and production of crackers

The crackers were formulated according to a 10-point design matrix (Table 1) from three components namely HQCF, cassava starch (CS) and prawn powder (PP). Apart from the major components, the proportion of other ingredients (salt and sugar) was kept constant in all 10 formulations.

The HQCF, CS, and PP were mixed in a high-speed laboratory blender (Waring, E8420) into uniform powder according to the formulae in Table 1. A 1:5 slurry of the powder was made with water before salt and sugar were added to taste. The slurry was cooked into a paste with continual stirring. This was done to fully gelatinize the starch and ensure full expansion during frying. The cooled paste was scooped into petri dishes and leveled before drying (60°C for 6 hr) into flat crackly pieces of about 3 mm thickness. The dried pieces were flash fried (165–175°C for less than 10 s) into dry crispy crackers in previously heated vegetable oil (Frytop®) using a deep fryer (Salton Deep Fryer, SDF-35).

2.3 | Sensory evaluation

Thirty untrained panelists, who regularly patronize crackers and other flour-based snacks and had previous experience in sensory evaluation, assessed the crackers in a laboratory preference test. The participants had no known allergies to any of the ingredients used. They evaluated the snack based on puffiness, crispiness, taste, and overall acceptability. A 7-point hedonic scale (Stone & Sidel, 2004), with one representing dislike extremely and seven representing like extremely was used for the evaluation. The sensory evaluation session was conducted at an accredited facility conforming to ISO 8589 (ISO, 2007) and equipped with individual sensory booths. Samples were presented to panelists following a randomized design matrix (XLSTAT ver. 2014, Statsoft, France). Panelists were instructed to refresh their palate with a slice of fresh cucumber and rinse with noncarbonated water before tasting subsequent samples.

2.4 | Experimental design and data analysis

Based on findings from preliminary studies, a 3-component mixture experiment (simplex centroid) was designed using the following lower and upper constraints: 55%–70% (HQCF), 30%–45% (CS), and 0%–15% (PP) (Minitab 17.0.1). This resulted in a 10-point design matrix (Table 1). Results from the study were analyzed using mixture regression, to fit the data to Scheffe polynomial models (Minitab 17.1.0) of the form \( Y = \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_3X_3 + \beta_{12}X_1X_2 + \beta_{13}X_1X_3 + \beta_{23}X_2X_3 \) where \( Y \) is the predicted dependent variable (taste, puffiness, crispiness, and overall acceptability) and \( \beta_i \) is parameter estimates for linear and cross-product terms; \( X_1 = \text{HQCF} \), \( X_2 = \text{CS} \), and \( X_3 = \text{PP} \). These polynomial models were then used to generate contour plots for the dependent variables.

2.5 | Optimization and validation of optimum formulation

Contour plots for the various attributes (taste, crispiness, puffiness, and acceptability) were superimposed to obtain an optimal region. The ranges were 5.5–6.5 for taste, 6.0–6.5 for crispiness, and puffiness and 6.0–7.0 for overall likeness. Crackers made from a formulation selected from this region were subjected to a consumer acceptance test.
The scores from the consumer acceptance test were used to validate the regression models.

2.6 | Consumer acceptance test

A consumer acceptance test was done to validate the optimal formulation. Seventy-five consumers were recruited to participate in a consumer acceptance survey. The selected participants had no known food allergies and were consumers (both casual and regular) of snack products, including crackers. Recruitment was based on interest and availability, after which panelists were made to sign a consent form. Based on results from the sensory evaluation, the optimal formulation was scaled up and used in this survey. Each participant was served with 5 g of deep-fried HQCF crackers (prepared as described in section 2.3) on a white Styrofoam disposable plate labeled with a 3-digit blinding code. Participants were made to taste and rate their degree of liking of the snack on a 7-point hedonic scale with 1 - dislike extremely and 7 - like extremely, based on attributes such as taste, crispiness, puffiness, and overall acceptability.

2.7 | Statistical analysis

Individual scores from the physical and sensory evaluation were averaged and data analyzed (SPSS 17.0.1). Significantly different means were separated using Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 95% confidence interval. Data from the consumer acceptance survey were also coded and analyzed using SPSS.

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 | Sensory evaluation

Table 2 presents the mean ratings for the attributes assessed in the laboratory preference test. The results show variations in the 10 formulations as far as these attributes are concerned. Some of the compositions yielded quite similar scores and may aggregate into common homogenous groups (an indication that likeness for these formulations are similar).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Mean scores for sensory attributes^a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Taste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.37 ± 1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.95 ± 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.30 ± 1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.40 ± 1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.10 ± 1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.30 ± 1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.40 ± 1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.01 ± 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.45 ± 1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.50 ± 0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aSensory attributes were rated on a scale of 1 (dislike extremely) - 7 (like extremely).

Table 2 Mean score for preference of sensory attributes

The ratings for this attribute ranged between 4.4 and 6.5, with significant differences (p < .05) existing between different formulations. Generally, binary blends of HQCF and CS containing high amounts of the former, and low amounts of the latter returned higher scores for crispiness. On the contrary, PF negatively affected crispiness of the crackers. This observation, according to Yu (1991), may be attributed...
to the fact that addition of PF reduces the total amylopectin fraction of the flour/starch.

Crispiness correlates well with expansion (Neiva et al., 2011; Kyaw et al., 2001) and is also associated with the total amylopectin content of the flour or starch used. Yu (1991) also suggested that fish protein hinders expansion through its interaction with starch granules, and therefore high fish protein ultimately reduced the crispiness of fish crackers. This observation is consistent with Irianto and Sugiyono & Indriati (2002), who also obtained reduced crispiness in fish crackers at higher fish:starch ratios. Figure 2 indicates that HQCF had a stronger effect on the crispiness compared to CS and also reveals the negative effect of increasing amounts of PP on crispiness. The predictive model for crispiness was reasonably accurate, explaining nearly 90% of the variability in the crispiness rating of the crackers (adj $R^2 = 76.4\%$), with a nonsignificant lack of fit (Table 3).

Expansion is critical in deciding the final quality of crackers and largely correlates strongly with acceptability (Nurul, Boni, & Noryati, 2009; Taewee, 2011). Puffing has been described by Rakesh and Datta (2011) as a rapid heating phenomenon in food which changes its structure. In crackers, it occurs when moisture trapped within starch granular matrix instantaneously evaporates because of exposure to high oil temperatures. Puffiness scores ranged from 3.2 to 6.4. Formulations 3 and 4, which were ternary blends and incidentally contained the highest proportion of PF, had the lowest puffiness appeal. Conversely, formulations 6 and 7, which were binary blends of HQCF and CS, obtained the highest rating for this attribute. As shown in Figure 3, increasing the proportion of HQCF and CS increased puffiness rating, whereas an increase in PP resulted in the opposite. This observation corroborate previous studies by Cheow, Yu, Howell, Che Man, and Muhammad (1999), Huda et al. (2001) and Kyaw et al. (2001) who reported a negative correlation between the proportion of fish and linear expansion of fish crackers.

Linear expansion, and hence puffiness, is widely known to be affected by fish/starch ratio. Although the mechanism seem complex and not well elucidated, Kyaw et al. (2001) explained that high protein may obstruct gelatinization of starch. Puffiness was significantly influenced by the quadratic terms in the model as well as the cross product of CS and PP (Table 3). The model for puffiness was significant ($p = .027$) and convincingly accurate ($R^2 = 91.8\%$) in fitting the experimental data for this attribute.

The crackers were largely acceptable to the panelist. A mean score of 5.2, representing 'like moderately', was obtained for overall acceptability of the crackers (Table 2). Only Formulation two fell below the threshold (5) for likeness, on the 7-point hedonic scale. Notwithstanding this narrow variation, significant differences ($p < .05$) were noticed in the acceptability scoring of crackers from the various runs. Whereas ternary blends attained a score of 5 or more, a trend of reduced acceptability rating was observed for binary combinations of HQCF and CS. Incidentally formulation 10, a ternary blend containing moderate proportion of the ingredients, had the highest acceptability rating.

The cox response trace plot in Figure 4 illustrates the effect of changes in proportion of the ingredients on the overall liking of prawn crackers. While acceptability of crackers increased as HQCF approached its lower bound, an increase in the proportions of each of CS and PP rapidly enhanced the acceptability rating. The regression model explained almost 80% (adj. $R^2 = 52.5\%$) of the variation in overall acceptance with no lack-of-fit ($p = .191$). Apart from CS*PP, none of the terms in the model were significant.

### 3.2 Optimal Formulation

Contour plots of the individual attributes were superimposed to obtain an optimal region (unshaded area in Figure 5) where specified conditions of the sensory attributes were satisfied. A formulation from within this region, containing 55.2% HQCF, 5.3% PP, and 39.5% CS was chosen to verify the predicted models. Mean rating for consumer assessment test is presented in Table 4. The results indicate that the ratings from the consumer test compared very well with the
Generally, a deviation of less than 1 was observed between the predicted and observed score, an observation which authenticates the regression models.

### Conclusion

The study has shown that the crackers produced from HQCF, prawn powder, and starch were generally acceptable to the consumers, although perceptible differences were noticed in the sensory properties of some combinations. The panelists preferred formulations composed of fairly lower proportion of HQCF and intermediary amounts of prawn powder and starch. Prawn powder and starch greatly
influenced most of the sensory attributes of the snack. The formulation composed of 55% HQCF, 5% PP, and 40% CS, obtained from the optimal region was highly acceptable by consumers, with an overall likeness score of 6.3 (like very much).
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